
TOP SECRET

14 September 1973

MI	EMORANDUM	for:	Raymond B. Firehock United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agenc	У	
· THI	ROUGH	:	Contract Monitor, CIA/OWI		
SUI	ВЈЕСТ	:	Photographic Coverage Data on Selected Areas and Installations Pertinent to MBFR Monitoring		
 REF	FERENCE	:	TCS-38695-73, dated 6 August 1973		
	1 In	recoonce	to your request the photographic coverage data		
mu	2. With respect to some portions of the data, several caveats must apply:				
	a. The interpretability rating scale used during the missions of concern was a preliminary version; the scale is currently undergoing modification and testing and is being altered in the process. 25X1A				
	THI SUI REI of [bel tar	THROUGH SUBJECT REFERENCE 1. In of	REFERENCE: 1. In response of below, is forwarded for targets was supplied presented and targets was supplied presented as a supplied presented the missions of conscale is currently	United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agence THROUGH: Contract Monitor, CIA/OWI SUBJECT: Photographic Coverage Data on Selected Areas and Installations Pertinent to MBFR Monitoring REFERENCE: TCS-38695-73, dated 6 August 1973 1. In response to your request, the photographic coverage data of as listed under Attachments below, is forwarded for your use. A computer listing of appropriate targets was supplied previously to you. 2. With respect to some portions of the data, several caveats must apply: a. The interpretability rating scale used during the missions of concern was a preliminary version; the scale is currently undergoing modification and testing	

TCS-899553-73 Copy_______ Hand Approved Fon Release 2002/05/29E CHA RDP79B01709A001400040010-3 KEYHOLE Controls



The rating data and plots are therefore "For Background Use Only." It would be inappropriate to draw any firm conclusions from these data or to cite them specifically in any report. They have been provided, however, to give you an appreciation of the type of capability that will be available in the near future.

- 3. The computer listings have been annotated where appropriate in explanation of the data.
- 4. With respect to target coverage, the definitions of interpretability are:
 - a. <u>Excellent</u>. Suitable for interpretation to answer requirements on a given type of target in complete detail.
 - b. Good. Suitable for interpretation to answer requirements on a given type of target in considerable detail.
 - c. <u>Fair</u>. Suitable for interpretation to answer requirements on a given type of target, but with only average detail.
 - d. Poor. Unsuitable for interpretation to adequately answer requirements on a given type of target.

As we have discussed, you are aware of the pitfalls attendant to any straightforward interpolation of these interpretability data.

5. Please get in touch if I can be of any further assistance.



Attachments

2

TCS-899553-73

25X1A